AO 245B

Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massacuusetts Statement of Reasons - Sheet 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **District of Massachusetts**

UNITED	STATES OF	AMERICA
	V.	

STATEMENT OF REASONS

MICHAEL WHITMORE	Case Number: 1: 04 CR 10090 - 001 - WGY	
	Harold Hakala	
	Defendant's Attorney	
The court adopts the factual findings and guideling	ne application in the presentence report.	
	OR	
The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report, except (see attachment, if necessar		
	See Continuation Page	
Guideline Range Determined by the Court:		
Total Offense Level: 17		
Criminal History Category: VI		
Imprisonment Range: 51	to 63 months	
Supervised Release Range: 2	to 3 years	
Fine Range: \$ \$5,000.00	to \$ \$50,000.00	
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.: xxx-xx-7873	06/15/05	
Defendant's Date of Birth: 82	Date of Imposition of Judgment	
25125 020	William M. W.	
	Signature of Judicial Officer	
Defendant's Residence Address:	The Honorable William G. Young	
	Judge, U.S. District Court	
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer	
	( 2000 )	
	Date 16, 2005	
Defendant's Mailing Address:	/	

AO 245B Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts Statement of Reasons - Sheet 2

	MICHAEL WHITMORE	Statement of Reasons - Page 2 of	
DEFENDANT:			
CASE NUMBER:	1: 04 CR 10090 - 001 - WGY		
	STATEMENT OF REASON	S	
_			
Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.			
Total Amount of Res	titution: \$		
the fashioning of	estitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation of a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to any committed before April 23, 1996, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(d)).		
	suant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordere lentifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable, pur		
determining com	suant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not order aplex issues of fact and related to the cause of amount of the victim's ree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by $3A(c)(3)(B)$ .	losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing	
stated, pursuant to defendant do not	ommitted on or after September 13, 1994 but before April 23, 1994 to Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18, restitution is not at allow for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payment.	ordered because the economic circumstances of the ot allow for the payment of any or some portion of	
Partial restitution	n is ordered, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c), for the following reas	on(s):	

Case 1:04-cr-10090-WGY Document 26-3 Filed 06/16/2005 Page 3 of 7
AO 245B Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts Statement of Reasons - Sheet 3
DEFENDANT: MICHAEL WHITMORE Statement of Reasons - Page 3 of
CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10090 - 001 - WGY
STATEMENT OF REASONS
The sentence is within the guideline range, that range does not exceed 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart from the sentence called for by the application of the guidelines.
OR
The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the sentence is imposed for the following reasons:
OR
The sentence departs from the guideline range:
upon motion of the government, as a result of a defendant's substantial assistance, or
for the following specific reason(s):
See Continuation Page

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Michael Whitmore, in consideration of the offense of which you stand convicted, all the criteria of 18 United States Code 3553, the arguments of the government, your counsel and yourself, this Court sentences you to custody in the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 51 months; thereafter, a period of supervised release of three years; no fine due to your inability to pay a fine; and a special assessment of \$100.

The special conditions of your supervised release are that you are prohibited from possessing a firearm or other dangerous weapon. You're to participate in a program for substance abuse which program may include testing not to include -- not to exceed, excuse me, 104 drug tests per year.

You shall attend a public, private or nonprofit domestic violence offender rehabilitation program approved by the Court if available within a 50-mile radius. You shall submit to the taking of a DNA sample.

Mr. Whitmore, let me explain this sentence. I hear you say you want another chance. You're not deserving of another chance. I gave you a sentence more than twice as long as any sentence you've served already. And the reason for that is you've got the proverbial record as long as your arm.

So, when you say those words, in all honesty, sir, I pray that they're the truth. But as a judge who does this every day, I don't see too much behind the words. You're saying the right things, but you've had sentences before and you haven't flown straight.

So now you get double any sentence you've had before. And that's a very blunt way of trying to get the message across. And while it's not my purpose to threaten, nor my business, I know how the courts work. You've got to do this sentence, then you've got three years of supervised release to do. And you be sure you tow the line during that period of supervised release because no judge, including me, is going to be very tolerant if you mess up during those three years.

Now, that's, you know, close on seven years are going to go by. You're going to be an older and more mature individual. Let me tell you this. You come back before any court, state court, federal court, and you offend in this manner again, with drugs or guns or an offense of that nature, the judge is going to look to double what I just gave you. You've got to understand that. Because that's the only way that society, through the courts and the judges, think they can communicate with you.

Now, having said that, I truly pray that you mean what you just said to me.

You'll get credit toward the service of this 1 sentence from the period from -- well, is it February 26th? 2 It says he was in Massachusetts state custody on the instant 3 charge. So it should be December 27th, 2003. 4 5 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Actually it's September, yes, September 27th, 2003. Because that was the --6 THE COURT: The sentence. 7 THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, actually the September 8 to December? 9 10 THE COURT: Oh, you're absolutely right. THE PROBATION OFFICER: There was -- that period of 11 time was not attributed to a state sentence. 12 THE COURT: And then he was --13 THE PROBATION OFFICER: It was dismissed. 14 THE COURT: -- released on bond. 15 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Correct. And them he came 16 into our custody. 17 18 THE COURT: Let me say it then. THE PROBATION OFFICER: So that should go all the 19 20 way back. THE COURT: You will have credit from September 27, 21 2003 through December 27, 2003, and from February 26th, 2004 22 23 towards the service of that sentence. You have the right to appeal from any findings or 24 rulings the Court may have made against you. Should you 25

appeal and should your sentence be reversed in whole or in part -- excuse me -- the case will be redrawn to another judge for resentencing. That's the order of the Court. He's remanded to the custody of the marshals. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. MR. HAKALA: Thank you, your Honor. (Whereupon the matter concluded.)